

Defendants.

# ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Gary Wright, by and through his Attorneys, and brings this action against AMR Corporation, American Airlines, Inc., John Doe, a passenger embarked onboard the subject flight (collectively "Defendants") for personal injuries he sustained on an American aircraft during a flight from DFW, Texas to John F. Kennedy International Airport ("JFK") that was designated as American Flight 322, connecting to American Flight 64 from JFK to Zurich, Switzerland, which were part of a single contract for carriage on a ticket issued to the plaintiff in the State of Texas. This action is governed by the Warsaw Convention and the Montreal Convention of 1999, also known as the Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air.

The Plaintiff respectfully alleges:

## The Parties

1. Plaintiff, Gary Wright, is a citizen of the State of Texas and a resident of Fort Worth, Tarrant County.

- 2. The defendants, AMR Corporation and American Airlines, Inc. (collectively "American") are believed and therefore alleged to be corporations organized under the laws of the United States, incorporated in and with headquarters in the State of Texas.
- 3. Defendant John Doe is an individual whose domicile is unknown. The true name and capacity of Defendant John Doe, who was a passenger onboard the subject flight seated near the Plaintiff, is unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sues said defendant by such fictitious name. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true capacity of the defendant John Doe when it is ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that the defendant designated herein as John Doe is responsible in some manner for the occurrence herein alleged which directly and proximately caused the injuries and damages alleged in this Complaint.
- 4. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of defendants DOES 1 through 20 are unknown to plaintiff, who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true capacity of the defendant DOES when they are ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that each of the defendants designated herein as a DOE is responsible in some manner for the occurrence herein alleged which directly and proximately caused the injuries and damages alleged in this Complaint.

- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the named defendants, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, were the agents, servants and employees of each of the remaining said defendants, and in performing the acts hereinafter alleged, were acting within the course and scope of said agency, service and employment with the advanced knowledge, acquiescence and ratification of each and every remaining defendant.
- 6. American regularly conducts business in the State of Texas and provides coordinated ticketing and operation of flights from the United States, including Dallas Fort Worth International Airport ("DFW") in Fort Worth, Texas, to international destinations including Zurich, Switzerland.
- 7. American is an air carrier that provides services for the carriage of passengers by air using either its own aircraft or another carrier's aircraft pursuant to a code share or other commercial agreement within the meaning of the Convention.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

8. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331(a), in that this action arises out of and is governed by the Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules Relating to International Transportation by Air, October 12, 1929, 49 Stat. 3000, 2 Bevans, 983, 137 L.N.T.S. 11 (the "Warsaw Convention"), as amended by the Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air (the "Montreal Convention 1999") and related agreements and protocols.

- 9. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter and venue is proper pursuant to Article 33 of the Montreal Convention 1999 in that the plaintiff's principal and permanent residence is in the United States.
- 10. The subject flight involved "international carriage" as defined under Article One of the Montreal Convention 1999 and the plaintiff's injuries alleged herein resulted from an accident on board the defendants' aircraft in the course of international carriage within the meaning of the Montreal Convention 1999.
- 11. Personal jurisdiction exists over the defendant American by virtue of its ongoing business dealings in the State of Texas, with arriving and departing flights in the State of Texas on a regular basis.
- 12. Personal jurisdiction exists over defendant John Doe who, on information and belief, is alleged to be a resident of Texas.
- 13. This court also has supplemental jurisdiction over all actions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

### **General and Factual Allegations**

14. In or about August 28, 2007 the plaintiff purchased a ticket from American Express Business Travel, Tampa, Florida for international travel from Dallas-Fort Worth to Zurich, Switzerland, via JFK International Airport, New York, departing from DFW on September 8, 2007 on American Flight 322 and arriving in Zurich, on September 9, 2007 on American Flight 64. Flight 322 was part of an international flight with stopping points in the United States and in Europe. Therefore, the terms of the Montreal Convention and relevant Tariffs

apply to this matter. The return flight was scheduled to depart Zurich for the United States on September 28, 2007.

- 15. American Flight 322 was operated by American on an aircraft owned by American with cockpit and cabin crew who were employees of American.
  - 16. The ticket for travel on American Flight 322 was issued by American.
- 17. On September 8, 2007, the Plaintiff was a fare-paying passenger on American Flight 322.
- 18. During American Flight 322 from DFW to JFK on September 8, 2007, the plaintiff sustained serious personal injuries when he was struck on the head by luggage removed from an overhead compartment by passenger John Doe who got out of his seat shortly after takeoff while the "Fasten Seat Belt" light was still illuminated and the rest of the passengers and flight crew were still seated.
- 19. The bag containing defendant John Doe's laptop computer struck Plaintiff on the head and caused him immediate and severe pain to his head, neck and upper back areas. Plaintiff continued the flight to JFK in pain and suffering for several hours.
- 20. Due to flight delays and other administrative issues, American was unable to attend to Plaintiff's injuries and assist him with his complaint, so Plaintiff boarded the next leg of the flight, American Flight 64 to Zurich.
- 21. After several days in Switzerland, Plaintiff's injuries became unbearable and he was admitted to a hospital in Zurich for treatment of symptoms directly resulting from the injuries he sustained on American Flight 322.

### Plaintiff's Claim for Personal Injuries Against American Airlines Pursuant to Articles 17 and 21 of the Montreal Convention 1999

- 22. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates all paragraphs 1-21 above by reference herein.
- 23. The United States of America is a signatory to the Montreal Convention of 1999, which has been in effect since November 4, 2003. Article 1 of the Montreal Convention provides that the Convention's rules apply to international carriage. American Airlines Flight 322 was one leg of an undivided international round trip carriage from the United States to Switzerland and back to the United States. At the time of the incident, plaintiff Gary Wright was a fare paying passenger, ticketed to fly American Airlines Flight 322 and American Airlines Flight 64.
- 24. The plaintiff sustained bodily injury as a result of an accident on board an American aircraft during Flight 322 within the meaning of Article 17 of the Montreal Convention 1999. Plaintiffs' injuries and damages were caused by an unexpected and unusual event external to the passenger, plaintiff Gary Wright.
- 25. Pursuant to the provisions of Article 17 of the Montreal Convention 1999, defendant American is liable for damages sustained by the plaintiff as a result of the injuries he suffered as a result of the accident on board Flight 322 on September 8, 2007.
- 26. The plaintiff's injuries were the result of an accident pursuant to the provisions in Article 17 of the Montreal Convention 1999 and the defendant

therefore is strictly liable for, and may not limit or exclude its liability for damages up to and including 100,000 Special Drawing Rights as provided in Article 21.

- 27. The plaintiff's injuries occurred as a result of the defendant American's negligence or other wrongful act within the meaning of Article 21 of the Montreal Convention 1999, and the defendant therefore is liable for damages exceeding 100,000 Special Drawing Rights as provided in Article 21.
- 28. The defendant American, acting by and through Flight 322's cabin and cockpit crew and other employees, failed to take all necessary precautions to prevent the accident that resulted in plaintiff's injury during Flight 322 on September 8, 2007.
- 29. The plaintiff's injuries were the direct and proximate result of the defendants' negligence and other wrongful conduct in any or all of the following respects:
  - a. Failing to take necessary precautions to anticipate the situation that caused the hazardous condition onboard Flight 322 that resulted in plaintiff's injury;
  - b. Failing to avoid the hazardous conditions that caused the plaintiff's accident onboard Flight 322 that resulted in plaintiff's injury;
  - c. Failing to adequately train and/or supervise its agents, servants, and employees in the proper security protocol and customer relations and violated their own rules, guidelines and policies;

- d. Failing to control all the embarked passengers and ensure that they adhered to Federal Aviation Regulations by remaining seated during
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, the plaintiff, Gary Wright, suffered severe and permanent injuries, was rendered unable to engage in his usual occupation and activities, and suffered physical pain and mental suffering.

the critical take-off phase of the flight.

31. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, the plaintiff is entitled to recover all elements of damages allowable under law, including damages for the following: medical expenses; loss of impairment of earning capacity; economic losses; physical and mental pain and suffering; and all other damages recoverable under the laws of damages applicable to this action.

### **COUNT TWO:** Plaintiff's Claim for Negligence Against John Doe

- 32. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1-31 by reference herein.
- 33. Defendant John Doe owed a duty of care to plaintiff Gary Wright onboard American Airlines Flight 322 to JFK. These duties include the duty not to batter or harm others. Additionally, defendant has a duty not to act in a manner which would cause physical and/or emotional harm to plaintiff.
- 34. Defendant John Doe breached this duty of care by intentionally, recklessly, willfully, unlawfully, negligently, tortiously and/or carelessly acting in

a conscious disregard for the safety of others, including Plaintiff Gary Wright through his disorderly and disruptive actions by getting out of his seat and attempting to retrieve baggage in the overhead compartment while the 'fasten seatbelt' light was illuminated onboard American Airlines Flight 322. actions could and did result in harm to plaintiff.

35. Defendant John Doe's acts and omissions and breaches of the duties owed to plaintiff proximately caused injuries and damages to plaintiff, including but not limited to serious physical and mental injuries, past and future medical expenses, past and future wage loss, past and future pain and suffering, past and future emotional distress, loss of enjoyment of life, physical and mental disability, trauma, fear, fright, embarrassment, loss of consortium and any and all special and general damages allowed by law or otherwise, all in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants AMR, American Airlines, John Doe and DOES 1-20 inclusive, in an amount that provides full and fair compensation for the injuries and damages he suffered, plus interest and costs.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE.

Respectfully Submitted,

ANDREW L. PAYNE

Texas Bar No. 00791416

PAYNE MITCHELL AW GROUP 2911 Turtle Creek Blvd., Suite 1400

Dallas, TX 75219

Telephone: (214) 252-1888 Facsimile: (214) 252-1889

©JS 44 (Rev. 12067)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS Advince of sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local thick of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the big of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the big of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the big of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the big of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the big of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the big of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the big of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the big of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the big of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the big of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the Clerk

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FOR	DEFENDANTS	3-400		
GARY L. h	RIGHT	AND	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (INUS RIANTURE CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDETENTION CASES VICTOR LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff Tarrant  XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence o			
ndrew L. Payne, Payn 911 Turtle Creek Boul	e, Address, and Telephone Number)  e Mitchell Law Group  evard, Suite 1400, Dallas, TX 75  DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Attorneys (If Known)	OCT   7 2006	Our in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF  I I I Incorporated or Prince of Business In This St		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item	III)	2 Incorporated and Prin of Business In Anc		
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	r oreign country			
CONTRACT  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property		Injury - Ipractice Injury - Ipractice Injury - Ipractice Injury -	423 Withdrawal	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters	
▼1 Original □ 2 Re	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from			Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of cause:	on of Certain Rules for Interna ernational commercial air carri			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:				demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	7	DOCKET NUMBER		
10/17/08 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	SIGNATURY	OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # A	MOUNT APPLYING	IUDGE_	MAG. JUDGI	E	